1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
3		
4	HONORABLE WILLIAM V. GALLO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE PRESIDING	
5		
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )	
7	PLAINTIFF, )	
8	vs. , NO.10CR1372W	
9	JONATHAN LEAL-DEL CARMEN, )	
10	DEFENDANT. )	
11	/	
12	WOTTON WILD THE	
13	MOTION HEARING	
14	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  MAY 11, 2010	
15	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA	
16		
17	MELISSA A. PIERSON, CSR 12499, RPR	
18	FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 940 FRONT STREET, ROOM 3155	
19	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101 PH: (619)702-7508	
20	PIERSON1121@SBCGLOBAL.NET	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
-		

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:		
2	ON BEHALF	OF PLAINTIFF: LAURA DUFFY UNITED STATES ATTORNEY BY: MR. STEVE MILLER, ESQ.	
3			
4		ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS 880 FRONT STREET	
5		FIFTH FLOOR SAN DIEGO, CA 92101	
6		SAN DIEGO, CA 92101	
7	ON BEHALF	OF DEFENDANT DEL CARMEN: FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF SAN DIEGO	
8		BY: MR. PAUL BARR, ESQ. C/O: MR. HANNI FAKHOURY, ESQ.	
9		225 W. BROADWAY ST. 900	
10		SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 (619) 234-8467	
11	ON BEHALF	OF DEFENDANT GOMEZ: MR. ROBERT CARRIEDO, ESQ. 105 W. "F" STREET ST. 300 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101	
12			
13			
14		(619) 232-0900	
15		OF MATERIAL WITNESSES: MR. THOMAS G. GILMORE, ESQ.	
16		14020 LAKE POWAY ROAD POWAY, CA 92064	
17		(858) 922-0826	
18			
19			
20 21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, MAY 11, 2010 2 3 (COURT IN SESSION AT 2:00 P.M.) MADAM CLERK: 10CR1372, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 4 VERSUS JONATHAN LEAL-DEL CARMEN AND DOMINGO GOMEZ-AGUILAR. 5 MR. MILLER: STEVE MILLER FOR THE UNITED STATES. 6 7 THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON. MR. GILMORE: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR, TOM 8 GILMORE ON BEHALF OF THE MATERIAL WITNESSES AND MOVING PARTY. 9 10 MR. BARR: GOOD AFTERNOON, PAUL BARR, FEDERAL DEFENDERS, ON BEHALF OF MY COLLEAGUE, HANNI FAKHOURY, FOR MR. 11 12 LEAL-DEL CARMEN. 13 MR. CARRIEDO: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR, ROBERT CARRIEDO ON BEHALF OF MR. GOMEZ. MR. GOMEZ IS PRESENT BEFORE 14 15 THE COURT IN CUSTODY. 16 THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON. MR. GILMORE. MR. GILMORE: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THIS IS A 17 18 STANDARD MAT WIT ORDER WHICH CONTROLLING LAW IN THE CASE, WHICH IS DIRECTLY ON POINT TO THIS CASE MANDATES THAT MY 19 20 CLIENTS MUST BE SENT BACK AFTER THEIR DEPOSITION HAS BEEN TAKEN TO PRESERVE THEIR TESTIMONY FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL 21 22 PARTIES. I'VE SUBMITTED MY POINTS AND AUTHORITIES, BUT I 23 ASSUME YOUR HONOR IS AWARE OF THE TORRES-RUIZ CASE, WHICH IS 24 THE NINTH CIRCUIT LAW THAT IS BINDING IN THIS TYPE OF CASE. 25 I SHOULD ALSO MENTION THAT JUST TODAY THE BOND

1 APPLICATION I FINALLY GOT BACK FROM ONE SURETY, WHICH I'LL 2 SUBMIT TODAY. AND IT LOOKS LIKE A GOOD SURETY, WHICH WOULD EVEN INCREASE THE REASONS TO AT LEAST RELEASE THE OTHER TWO. 4 THE DEFENSE AND PROSECUTION WOULD HAVE A LIVE WITNESS, IF NECESSARY, IN THE FUTURE. I WILL SUBMIT ON THE PLEADINGS, 5 YOUR HONOR. 6 7 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET ME BACK UP JUST A MOMENT. MR. LEAL-DEL CARMEN, SIR, YOUR ATTORNEY, MR. 8 FAKHOURY, IS UNABLE TO BE PRESENT, AND I SHOULD HAVE ASKED 9 10 YOU THIS AT THE OUTSET. MR. BARR IS ONE OF HIS COLLEAGUES, THERE AT THE EDGE OF THE TABLE, HAS SPECIALLY AGREED TO 11 APPEAR IN HIS BEHALF FOR THE PURPOSES OF REPRESENTING YOU 12 13 WITH RESPECT TO THIS MOTION TO TAKE THE DEPOSITION OF SOME 14 MATERIAL WITNESSES. WE WON'T GO ANY FURTHER, SIR, UNLESS YOU 15 CONSENT AND AGREE TO MR. BARR'S REPRESENTATION HERE THIS 16 AFTERNOON IN PLACE OF MR. FAKHOURY, AND ONLY FOR THE PURPOSES 17 OF TODAY'S HEARING. DO YOU CONSENT AND AGREE TO MR. BARR STANDING IN FOR MR. FAKHOURY AT THIS TIME? 18 19 DEFT. LEAL-DEL CARMEN: YES. 20 THE COURT: OKAY. WITH THAT OUT OF THE WAY, MR. BARR, YOUR RESPONSE. I HAVE READ MR. FAKHOURY'S WRITTEN 21 22 RESPONSE. 23 MR. BARR: YES, YOUR HONOR, I THINK I WILL 24 ESSENTIALLY REST ON THE PAPERS SUBMITTED BY MR. FAKHOURY.

ESSENTIALLY, THE ARGUMENT IS MR. LEAL-DEL CARMEN'S SIXTH

25

1 AMENDMENT RIGHTS IN THIS PARTICULAR INSTANCE OUTWEIGH THE 2 PARTICULAR CONCERNS OF THE MATERIAL WITNESSES, THAT THERE HAS BEEN NO SHOWING OF EXCEPTIONAL NEED FOR THESE INDIVIDUALS OR THIS INDIVIDUAL TO BE RETURNED TO MEXICO ABSENT SOME 4 5 INABILITY TO GET OUT ON BOND, OR ON THE OTHER HAND, TO REMAIN IN CUSTODY DURING THE PENDENCY OF THIS MATTER. 6 7 THE COURT: UNDERSTAND. OKAY. MR. CARRIEDO, DO YOU JOIN IN THIS MOTION? 8 9 MR. CARRIEDO: ANY OBJECTION FROM MR. FAKHOURY --10 THE COURT: YES, THAT'S WHAT I MEANT, DO YOU JOIN IN THE OBJECTION? 11 12 MR. CARRIEDO: YES. I WOULD LIKE TO ADD, YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE BEEN UNABLE TO INTERVIEW THE MATERIAL 13 14 WITNESSES AT ALL. THOUGH THERE HAS BEEN A REQUEST EARLY ON. 15 THE COURT: WHAT'S THE HOLD UP? WHAT'S THE HURDLE, DO YOU KNOW? 16 17 MR. CARRIEDO: I BELIEVE THAT MR. GILMORE HAS 18 INDICATED THAT HE WANTED TO WAIT UNTIL THE CLIENTS WERE 19 RELEASED ON BOND BEFORE WE WOULD BE ABLE TO INTERVIEW THEM. 20 I BELIEVE THAT WAS WHAT I WAS INFORMED EARLY ON. AND I BELIEVE NONE OF THEM HAVE BEEN RELEASED, SO THAT'S --21 22 THE COURT: SO IN THE CLASSIC KIND OF CATCH 22. MR. CARRIEDO: YES. I ASSUME WITH THAT CAVEAT, I 23 24 BELIEVE THAT THE DEPOSITION WILL PROBABLY LAST A LITTLE 25 LONGER.

1 THE COURT: IT COULD, CERTAINLY COULD. 2 IF I'M NOT GOING -- IF YOU CARE TO ANSWER THIS, FINE, IF YOU CARE TO DEFER, I WOULD CERTAINLY APPRECIATE IT. 3 4 IF THERE WAS TO BE AN ALLOWANCE FOR AN INTERVIEW PRIOR OR AT YOUR REQUEST AND AS SOON AS POSSIBLE, WOULD YOUR POSITION 5 WITH RESPECT TO THE DEPOSITION CHANGE AT ALL? I KNOW IT'S A 6 7 HYPOTHETICAL, AND I'M ASKING YOU TO SPECULATE, BUT KNOWING KIND OF WHAT THE MATERIAL WITNESSES WOULD SAY OR WOULDN'T 8 9 SAY, WOULD YOUR POSITION CHANGE? 10 MR. CARRIEDO: I REALLY COULDN'T ANSWER THAT 11 QUESTION --12 THE COURT: FAIR ENOUGH. MR. CARRIEDO: -- WITHOUT MORE. 13 14 THE COURT: WITHOUT KNOWING WHAT THEY WOULD SAY. 15 RIGHT. FAIR ENOUGH. MR. BARR? 16 MR. BARR: I THINK, YOUR HONOR, SIMPLY THAT IT 17 DOESN'T ADDRESS THE PRIMARY CONCERN WHICH IS THE SIXTH 18 AMENDMENT. 19 THE COURT: CONFIDENTIAL, I UNDERSTAND. 20 MR. MILLER. 21 MR. GILMORE: YES, YOUR HONOR, AS FAR AS COUNSEL 22 ARGUING ABOUT SIXTH AMENDMENT, HE'S ABSOLUTELY CORRECT THEY 23 HAVE THAT RIGHT. THESE CASES ARE CONTROLLING, HAVE DECIDED THAT IN THIS SITUATION THE BALANCING OF RIGHTS FAVORS THE 24 25 MATERIAL WITNESS IN THIS CASE.

AS FAR AS THE INTERVIEWING, UNFORTUNATELY WHAT HAS HAPPENED, IT HAS BEEN MY UNFORTUNATE EXPERIENCE THAT WHEN I ALLOW THE INTERVIEWS OF MY CLIENTS WHO ARE NOT OBLIGATED TO BE INTERVIEWED BY ANYONE --

THE COURT: SURE.

MR. GILMORE: -- BUT WHEN I ALLOW IT, AND THEN WE HAVE A DEPOSITION, AND THEN THERE IS AN OBJECTION TO THEIR RELEASE AFTER THE DEPOSITION, THE REASON GIVEN IS THAT MY CLIENTS TESTIFIED DIFFERENTLY IN A DEPOSITION THAN THEY TESTIFIED TO AN INVESTIGATOR DURING AN INTERVIEW. AND BY COOPERATING WITH THE DEFENSE, WHICH THEY USUALLY LIKE TO DO, I HAVE OCCASIONALLY HAD MAGISTRATE'S REFUSE TO RELEASE THE WITNESSES BECAUSE OF THAT COOPERATION. THEREFORE, I CAN'T DO IT, IN GOOD CONSCIOUS AND GOOD PRACTICE, TO MY CLIENTS UNTIL THEY ARE OUT ON BOND. ONCE THEY ARE OUT ON BOND, I HAVE NO PROBLEMS AT ALL.

THE COURT: OKAY. MR. MILLER.

MR. MILLER: I WAS DOUBTFUL AND ONE OF THE MAJOR CONCERNS THAT I HAD WAS THE DEFENSE ATTORNEY'S ABILITY TO INTERVIEW THE MATERIAL WITNESSES, BUT NOW THAT I HEAR THE EXPLANATION I CAN UNDERSTAND, ONCE BURNED-TWICE SHY.

THERE IS THREE OTHER THINGS THAT I WOULD LIKE TO CALL TO THIS COURT'S ATTENTION IN CONNECTION WITH THIS HEARING. THIS IS NOT A CUSTOMARY BORDER BUST TYPE OF CASE WHERE DEFENDANT IS CAUGHT DRIVING A CAR, AND WHERE THE

1 EVIDENCE IS AS EASY AS THAT. THIS IS A MATERIAL WITNESS 2 IDENTIFYING THE DEFENDANTS AS THE FOOT GUIDES. SO, IT'S A LITTLE MORE COMPLICATED THAN THE CUSTOMARY CASE. 4 MY ADDITIONAL REQUEST IS IF ONE OF THE MATERIAL WITNESSES IS RELEASED ON BOND, I WOULD LIKE THE MAGISTRATE 5 COURT TO PERMIT US TO DEPOSE THAT PERSON AS WELL. I'VE DONE 6 7 THAT IN THE PAST AND THAT'S SERVED US WELL. 8 ALSO, IF THIS COURT DOES GRANT THE MATERIAL 9 WITNESSES' REQUEST FOR DEPOSITIONS, SCHEDULING WISE, I WOULD 10 ASK THAT IT BE AT LEAST TWO WEEKS FROM NOW BECAUSE I'M GOING TO BE IN TRIAL ALL NEXT WEEK. 11 12 THE COURT: THAT'S MY -- IN READINGS MR. FAKHOURY'S 13 RESPONSE, I THINK I READ -- LET ME FIND IT AGAIN. THAT THERE 14 IS CURRENTLY A SUBSTANTIVE MOTION HEARING ON JUNE THE 7TH IN 15 FRONT OF JUDGE WHELAN. 16 MR. CARRIEDO: THAT'S CORRECT. 17 THE COURT: OFTEN TIMES, THE OUTCOME OF MOTION 18 HEARINGS CHANGE THE POSTURE OF ONE PARTY OR THE OTHER, ONE 19 SIDE OR THE OTHER. WHAT'S THE NATURE OF THAT MOTION HEARING? 20 MR. CARRIEDO: MOTIONS HAVE NOT BEEN FILED AT THIS 21 MOMENT. 22 THE COURT: THEY ARE NOT DUE YET. 23 MR. BARR: NOT ONLY -- (INAUDIBLE). 24 THE COURT: BUT THERE IS A HEARING DATE OF JUNE THE 25 7TH?

1 MR. CARRIEDO: YES.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: WHICH IS SLIGHTLY LESS THAN A MONTH

FROM NOW.

MR. CARRIEDO: RIGHT. JUDGE WHELAN, WE HAD A MOTION SETTING DATE ABOUT A WEEK AGO AND HE SET THE MOTIONS FOR JUNE 7TH.

THE COURT: OKAY. MY THOUGHTS ARE THIS, IS THAT MR. FAKHOURY HAD INDICATED RULE 15(A), RULE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 15(A), PUTTING ASIDE THE TORRES CASE FOR A MOMENT, ADDRESSING DEPOSITIONS, IT IS TRUE THAT 15(A)(1), IN GENERAL, TALKS ABOUT EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES, HOWEVER, THERE IS A 15(A)(2) THAT SPECIFICALLY SPEAKS TO THE DETAINING OF THE MATERIAL WITNESS DEPOSITION. WITHIN THAT PARTICULAR PARAGRAPH IT MAKES NO MENTION OF EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES. IT SIMPLY SAYS A WITNESS WHO'S DETAINED MAY REQUEST TO BE DEPOSED BY FILING -- GIVING NOTICE TO THE PARTIES. THE COURT MAY THEN ORDER THE MATERIAL WITNESS DEPOSITION BE TAKEN, DISCHARGE THE WITNESS AFTER THE WITNESS HAS SIGNED, UNDER OATH, THE DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT, AND MAKES NO MENTION, AS IT DOES IN THE GENERAL SECTION, WITH RESPECT TO DEPOSITIONS ONLY, WHEN EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES APPEAR AND ARE BEING QUITE EASY TO INCLUDE THAT LANGUAGE IN THAT PARTICULAR SECTION RELATING TO DETAINED MATERIAL WITNESSES. I'M INCLINED TO GRANT THE MOTION, BUT NOT UNTIL -- NOT ORDER IT UNTIL AFTER THE SUBSTANTIVE MOTION HEARING HAS BEEN HELD AND

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THERE HAS BEEN A RULING ON THAT SUBSTANTIVE MOTION AND TAKING A STATUS AT THAT TIME. GIVEN SOME OF MR. MILLER'S COMMENTS ABOUT THIS IS NOT YOUR TYPICAL RUN-OF-THE-MILL KIND OF BORDER BUST CASE, AND IT'S SLIGHTLY MORE COMPLICATED THAN OTHERS, IN BALANCING THE RIGHTS AND NEEDS OF THE DEFENDANT AND THOSE OF THE MATERIAL WITNESSES, I DO BELIEVE ANY SIXTH AMENDMENT CONFRONTATIONAL ISSUES ARE ADEQUATELY COVERED IN THE CONFINES OF THE DEPOSITION, WHICH TYPICALLY WOULD OCCUR IN THE US ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. TYPICALLY WOULD BE VIDEOTAPED. THE DEFENDANTS WOULD BE PRESENT AND HAVE THE RIGHT TO CONFRONT THESE MATERIAL WITNESSES WHILE THEIR ATTORNEYS ARE CROSS-EXAMINING AND WHILE THE US ATTORNEY IS EXAMINING THE WITNESS AND BE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE AND ASSIST THEIR ATTORNEY IN THAT EXAMINATION OF THE MATERIAL WITNESSES. SO, I'M INCLINED TO GRANT THE ORDER, BUT NOT ORDER THE DEPOSITION OCCUR EVEN WITHIN TWO WEEKS. IT WOULD BE SOMETIME AFTER THE JUNE 7TH SUBSTANTIVE MOTION HEARING. AND

THE DEPOSITION OCCUR EVEN WITHIN TWO WEEKS. IT WOULD BE SOMETIME AFTER THE JUNE 7TH SUBSTANTIVE MOTION HEARING. AND I KNOW JUDGE WHELAN VERY WELL, HE WILL WALK IN THERE, GIVE HIS TENTATIVE RULING BEFORE YOU EVEN OPEN UP YOUR MOUTH, ASK TO ARGUE YOUR RESPECTIVE SIDES, AND THEN AT THE END OF ALL THAT HE'S GOING TO TELL YOU WHAT HIS RULING IS. SO, YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE A VERY QUICK RESOLUTION PENDING ANY MOTIONS TO CONTINUE FOR WHATEVER REASON.

WHAT I WOULD PREFER TO DO THEN, IS PUT THIS ON FOR STATUS ON JUNE 8TH, WHICH WOULD BE THE DAY AFTER. I'M NOT

HERE THAT DAY. 1 2 MR. GILMORE: THAT WOULD BE A GOOD DAY FOR STATUS. THE COURT: I'M NOT SURE WHERE I'M GOING TO BE BUT 3 I'M NOT HERE. OKAY. WHY DON'T WE PUT IT ON FOR STATUS THEN 4 JUNE THE 10TH, THEN, WHICH THAT IS THURSDAY. 5 WHAT I'M ALSO GOING TO ASK THE PARTIES TO DO 6 7 BETWEEN NOW AND THEN IS COME UP WITH A MUTUALLY CONVENIENT DATE AFTER JUNE THE 10TH TO CONDUCT THE DEPOSITION. SO, HAVE 8 9 ALL THIS PREPARED, ALL RIGHT. BECAUSE IF YOU COME IN ON JUNE 10 10TH AND I SAY YOU ARE GOING TO DO THE DEPOSITIONS, AND IT MAY TAKE A WEEK OR TWO FOR THE PARTIES TO ARRANGE YOUR 11 12 SCHEDULES, HAVE ALL OF THOSE ARRANGEMENTS BE MADE, I WANT YOU 13 TO DO ALL THAT AND HAVE IT READY TO BE ABLE TO TELL ME WHAT THAT DATE IS SUBSEQUENT TO JUNE 10TH. IF IT'S JUNE 11TH, 14 15 FINE. THE ORDER MAY BE ON JUNE THE 10TH, DEPENDING ON THE NATURE OF -- OR DEPENDING ON WHAT HAPPENED ON JUNE 7TH, THAT, 16 17 NO, WE'RE NOT GOING TO DO IT JUST YET. WE'RE GOING TO DELAY 18 IT OR MAYBE CARRY ON AND DO THE DEPOSITIONS. 19 MR. CARRIEDO: WHAT TIME ON THE 10TH? 20 THE COURT: 2:00 O'CLOCK. AND THEN, MR. MILLER, WITH RESPECT TO YOUR OTHER REQUEST ABOUT SHOULD THE MATERIAL 21 22 WITNESS BE RELEASED IN THE MEANTIME BETWEEN NOW AND JUNE 10TH 23 24 MR. MILLER: AND I'VE JUST SIGNED OR APPROVED THE

BOND TO THAT MAT WIT.

25

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: OKAY. I THINK THAT THAT PERSON WOULD FALL WITHIN THE END OF THE ORDER TO DEPOSE THAT INDIVIDUAL --THERE IS THREE OF THEM, RIGHT? THREE MATERIAL WITNESSES RIGHT NOW? MR. GILMORE: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR, JUST BONDING ON ONE. THE COURT: NO, WE'VE GOT BONDING ON ONE. THIS, OF COURSE, THE DEFENSE WILL HAVE UNTIL JUNE 10TH TO COME UP WITH SOME REASON OR OBJECTION TO THE DEPOSITION OF THAT RELEASE OR MATERIAL WITNESSES AS THERE MAY BE MORE THAN ONE BY THEN. MR. GILMORE: AND HOPEFULLY BY JUNE 10TH, IF THAT PERSON IS OUT ON BOND, WE CAN ARRANGE TO HAVE HER -- HIM INTERVIEWED ALREADY BY THEN. THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. IS THAT SUFFICIENTLY MUDDY FOR EVERYONE TO UNDERSTAND? DOES EVERYONE GOT THAT? MR. GILMORE: THAT'S FINE. MR. BARR: JUST TO DECLARE, IS THE COURT, AT THIS TIME, RULING ON THE MOTION AND GRANTING THE MOTION? THE COURT: AS I INDICATED, MY INCLINATION IS TO GRANT THE MOTION BASED UPON WHAT I SEE RIGHT NOW, BUT I WANT TO KNOW WHAT HAPPENS AT THE SUBSTANTIVE HEARING BEFORE JUDGE WHELAN BEFORE I GIVE -- ETCH THAT INTO GRANITE. BUT JUST SO THE PARTIES KNOW THAT I BELIEVE THE CONFRONTATIONAL ISSUES ARE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED BY A DEPOSITION. I ALSO BELIEVE THAT THE RULE, RULE 15(A)(2),

1 DOESN'T NECESSARILY REQUIRE EXCEPTIONAL -- OR DOESN'T REQUIRE EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES. I'M MINDFUL OF THE TORRES-RUIZ 2 CASE AND WHAT IT SAYS, AND THE DISTINGUISHING FACTORS IN THAT 4 CASE COMPARED TO THIS CASE. AND MY INCLINATION IS TO GRANT THAT MOTION. BUT 5 WITH THE CAVEAT THAT THAT RULING MAY CHANGE DEPENDING ON WHAT 6 7 JUDGE WHELAN ORDERS IN THAT MOTION HEARING. IT MAY NOT 8 CHANGE, BUT IT MAY. SO, I WANT TO SEE WHAT HAPPENS THERE 9 FIRST. 10 MR. BARR: I UNDERSTAND, YOUR HONOR. TO THE EXTENT THAT IT'S NECESSARY, I WOULD JUST RENEW THE OBJECTION TO THE 11 12 DEPOSITION AT THIS TIME, UNDERSTANDING THE COURT'S RULING 13 CONTINGENT UPON WHAT HAPPENS --14 THE COURT: YEAH, I UNDERSTAND. ANYTHING FURTHER? 15 MR. GILMORE: NO, YOUR HONOR. I WILL PROBABLY BE BACK IN A FEW MINUTES TO GIVE YOU THE BOND. 16 17 THE COURT: OKAY. I'LL QUICKLY TAKE CARE OF THAT 18 AS SOON AS I GET OFF THE BENCH. LET ME ALSO REMIND EVERYONE 19 WE'VE TALKED ABOUT IT, BUT THERE IS THAT MOTION HEARING AND 20 TRIAL SETTING ON JUNE 7TH, AT 2:00 P.M., BEFORE JUDGE WHELAN. SO GENTLEMEN, YOU ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR ON THAT 21 22 DATE BEFORE JUDGE WHELAN, AND THEN THREE DAYS LATER, ON JUNE 23 10TH, BEFORE ME, AT 2:00 P.M., FOR FURTHER HEARINGS WITH

RESPECT TO THIS MOTION TO DEPOSE THE MATERIAL WITNESS. DO

24

25

YOU EACH UNDERSTAND?

```
DEFT. LEAL-DEL CARMEN: YES.
 1
 2
                DEFT. GOMEZ: YES.
 3
                THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. ANYTHING FURTHER?
 4
                MR. GILMORE: NO, YOUR HONOR.
 5
                THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
 6
                      (WHICH WERE ALL THE PROCEEDINGS
 7
                      HELD IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED CAUSE.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO 4 ) SS. 5 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 I, MELISSA A. PIERSON, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER, REGISTERED 8 PROFESSIONAL REPORTER, IN AND FOR THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT 9 COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY 10 CERTIFY THAT I REPORTED, STENOGRAPHICALLY, THE FOREGOING 11 PROCEEDINGS AT THE TIME AND PLACE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH; 12 THAT THE SAME WAS THEREAFTER REDUCED TO TYPEWRITTEN FORM BY 13 MEANS OF COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION; AND I DO FURTHER 14 CERTIFY THAT THIS IS A TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPTION OF MY 15 STENOGRAPHIC NOTES. 16 17 18 DATE: 4-21-11 19 20 S:/MELISSA A. PIERSON 21 MELISSA A. PIERSON, CSR 12499 RPR 22 FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 23 2.4 25